UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,683	12/06/2004	Nobuya Matsutani	43890-703	6754
20277 MCDERMOT	7590 01/29/2007 T WILL & EMERY LLE	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
WASHINGIO	N, DC 20003-3090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

st	
nt(s)	
TANI ET AL.	:
idence address	U
HIRTY (30) DAYS,	
date of this communication. 2. § 133). e any	
as to the merits is 213.	
r. 1.85(a). See 37 CFR 1.121(d). rr form PTO-152.	
).	
 National Stage	
	1

			st
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/516,683	MATSUTANI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of the may be available under the	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC	ATION.) DAYS,

	Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed slive of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 November 2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-21,33,36 and 37</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,22-32,34 and 35</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:					
	rademark Office					

U.S. Patent and Trademark UNIC PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of embodiment 1, figures 1-6 in the reply filed on 11/8/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 1-3 and 22-36 read on the elected species. This is not found persuasive because claim 36 depends on non-elected claim 6 and claim 33 do not read on the elected species. Claims 1-3 and 22-32 and 34-35 will be examined herewith.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis for "the coil group terminal portions."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 22-32 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shikama et al. [US 6,950,006 B1] in view of Shafer et al. [US 6,946,944 B2].

Shikama et al. discloses an array type choke coil comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/516,683

Art Unit: 2832

- a coil group [61a, 62a, 63a, 64a] arranged such that the axes of coil constituting the coil

group in parallel;

- a ferrite magnetic material [2] burying therein the coil group, wherein the magnetic

material is formed in a rectangular prism; and

- a plurality of terminals formed on at least two surfaces of the magnetic material.

Shikama et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific of the coil.

Shafer et al. discloses an inductor [10] comprising a coil [14] being buried in a magnetic

body [14], wherein the coil formed by bending/stamping a metal sheet and having end terminals

extending outside and disposed on at least two surfaces of the magnetic body.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the coil design of Shafer et al. in Shikama et al. for the purpose of

facilitating manufacturing.

Regarding claims 2-3 and 22-23, Shikama et al. discloses the inductor array electrical

characteristic [inductance, magnetic flux] can be adjusted by (a) spacing the coil; (b) changing

the thickness of the coil; (c) changing number of coils.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the

invention was made to arrange the coil having the center points in staggered arrangement for the

purpose of controlling the inductance.

Regarding claims 24-26, the specific direction of current flow and the specific number of

turns would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended

applications/environment uses.

Regarding claims 27 and 30-31, the specific arrangement of the terminals would have been an obvious matter of design choice for the purpose of facilitating mounting.

Regarding claim 29, Shikama et al. discloses an insulation resin layer formed on the surface of the coil.

Regarding claim 32, Shikima et al. in view of Shafer et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific of Ni and/or Solder/Tin layer(s).

Nickel, Solder or Tin layer(s) are known material use in surface mount application. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include a layer of Nickel layer for the purpose of providing connection to the substrate and a Tin layer for the purpose of providing connection to other device.

Regarding claim 35, Shikama et al. discloses the use of the inductor array in an electronic apparatus.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,683 Page 5

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TN 1

Tenja Nguyla